

Journal of Innovative Mathematics Learning Volume 3, No. 3, September 2020

IMPROVING STUDENT'S MATHEMATICAL CRITICAL THINKING ABILITY AND SELF REGULATED LEARNING USING OPEN-ENDED APPROACH

Yanti Yulianti¹, Utari Sumarmo², Asep Kustiana³

Mathematics Education of Post Graduate, IKIP Siliwangi

¹ queenza.yanti@gmail.com, ² utari.sumarmo@gmail.com, ³ 4sep.kustiana@gmail.com

Received: August 5th, 2020; Accepted: September 15th, 2020

Abstract

This study is an experiment with pretest postest control group design having a goal to examine the role of open-ended approach (OEA) on enchancing student's mathematical critical thinking ability (MCTA) and mathematical self regulated learning (MSRL). Sample of this study are 59 seventh grade students from two classes selected randomly from 5 classess in in a Junior High School in Garut. Instruments of this study were an essay MCTA test, and a MSRL Likert model scale. The study found on MCTA and on MSRL students getting treatment with OEA obtained higher grades than the grades of students taught by SA. The first group students attained MSCA and MSRL at fairly good grade level, while the second group students obtained at medium grade level, and they still encountered some difficulties on solving MCTA tasks. Besides that, the study also found there is high asociation between MCTA and MSRL and student performed active learning during OEA lessons.

Keywords: mathematical critical thinking ability, self-regulated learning, open-ended approach

Abstrak

Penelitian ini adalah desain kelas kontrol eksperimen pretest postest yang memiliki tujuan untuk menguji peran pendekatan open-ended (OEA) pada peningkatan kemampuan berpikir kritis matematika (MCTA) siswa dan kemandirian belajar matematika (MSRL). Sampel penelitian ini adalah 59 siswa kelas tujuh dari dua kelas yang dipilih secara acak dari 9 kelas di Sekolah Menengah Pertama di Garut. Instrumen penelitian ini adalah tes esai MCTA, dan model skala Likert MSRL. Studi yang ditemukan pada MCTA dan pada MSRL yang mendapatkan pembelajaran dengan OEA memperoleh nilai yang lebih tinggi daripada nilai siswa yang pembelajarannya dengan SA. Siswa kelompok pertama mencapai MSCA dan MSRL pada tingkat kelas yang cukup baik, sedangkan siswa kelompok kedua diperoleh pada tingkat kelas menengah, dan mereka masih menghadapi beberapa kesulitan dalam menyelesaikan tugas-tugas MCTA. Selain itu, penelitian ini juga menemukan adanya hubungan yang tinggi antara MCTA dan MSRL dan siswa melakukan pembelajaran aktif selama pelajaran OEA.

Kata Kunci: kemampuan berpikir kritis matematis, pembelajaran mandiri, pendekatan open-ended

How to Cite: Yulianti, Y., Sumarmo, U., Kustiana, A. (2020). Improving Students Mathematical Critical Thinking Ability and Self Regulated Learning Using Open-Ended Approach. JIML, 3 (3), 133-144.

INTRODUCTION

134 Yulianti, Y., Sumarmo, U., Kustiana, A. Improving Students Mathematical Critical Thinking Ability and Self Regulated Learning Using Open-Ended Approach

Based on obesrvation on teaching-learning mathematics process when we visit to a Junior High School in Garut in 2018, we get following important information (Susanti, Helmi, Resnawati, Yulianti, Hermansyah, Mellyana, Nurwahidah, 2018). Most students were accustomed to learning in small groups. They performed to enjoy to learn mathematics content in student worksheets and complete the problems (Figure 1). Students discussed actively and presented the results of their group work in front of the class voluntary (Figure 2). However, there were few students still having difficulty solving problems accompanied by the formula used, and they asked for help to the teacher (Figure 3).



Figure 1. Students accustomed learned in small group



Figure 2. Students presented their work in front of the class



Figure 3. Students encountered difficulty and asked for the teacher's help

Sometimes, students had difficulty on companying the rule used in solving the task in student work sheet. Those student's difficulty pointed out that student had not mastered yet sufficient mathematical critical thinking ability (MCTA). Ilustration of low student's MCTA were found as well in some of previous studies (Kurniawati, Kusumah, Sumarmo, Sabandar, 2014, Palinussa, 2013, Retnaningsih & Sugandi, 2018, Sumarmo, Kusnadi, Maya, 2018, Widyaningtias, Kusumah, Sumarmo, Sabandar, 2017) that students taught by ordinary teaching attained MCTA at low grade level, while students getting treatment with variety inovative teaching approaches attained MCTA at medium grade level. Apart from the findings above, the positive student's learning behaviour above were in line with findings of some studies (Aminah, Kusumah, Suryadi, and Sumarmo, 2017, Murni & Sugandi, 2017, Qohar, & Sumarmo, 2014, Retnaningsih, & Sugandi, 2018, Romlah, Sumarmo, Syaban, 2018) which detected that by implementing various teaching approaches students obtained mathematics self regulated learning (MSRL) at fairly good grade level. Those findings pointed out that students encountered more difficult to solve MCTA than to perform good MSRL.

Bassically, MCTA and MSRL are important mathematics learning out comes should be mastered by and improved on high school students. At least there are two reasons support that statement. First reason, MCTA is attached in the goal and vision of mathematics teaching (NCTM, 2000, Indonesia Mathematics Curriculum 2013), among other is: to improve student's potency to become a critical, creative, logical, accurate, and innovative individual. Secondly, the reason is in line with Peter's conception (2012) that is: when student think critically, he will solve any problem effectively, he did not accept information without checking its truth, and he worked responsibly followed by logical reasoning. Then, the need for students to have a good SRL is in line with Yang's opinion namely (as cited in Hargis & Kerlin, 1992): students with high SRL tend to learn better in their own control, able to evaluate, and to manage their learning effectively, to save their time in solving their tasks, and to manage their time efficiently.

Some definitions of critical thinking ability (CTA) among other things are as follow critical thinking ability are: a. Ability to clarify some thing accomapnied with relevant reason (Fisher, 1995); b. Ability to give reasonable reflective thinking and derive conclussion based on trusted activities (Ennis, as cited in Baron, & Sternberg, (Editor), 1987, and Hassoubah, 2004); c. Ability to decide trustworthy resources, to discriminate relevant and unrelevant data, and to recognize unwritten asumption, happened bias, and viewpoint, (Bayer,2002, as cited in Hassoubah, 2004).

As MCTA task involves HOTS mathematics skill, students need to own good MSRL. Some experts (Butler, 2002, Schunk and Zimmerman, 1998, as cited in Sumarmo, 2006), define SRL in different expressions, but they contain some similar components, namely: to plan self learning objective; to select strategy; and to monitor, to evaluate learning processes and to compare them to a certain standard.

Refering to learning process, Polya (1975) offers that mathematics teacher's task is not only to convey mathematics content but the more important things are: to perform proper behavior to student's condition, to esteem student's thinking, to motivate student to think and to learn better. In addition, Indonesia Mathematics Curriulum 2013 suggests that mathematics hard skill such as MCTA and mathematics soft skill that is MSRL have to be improved run together. When researchers analyze the steps of open-ended approach (OEA) deeply, we predict that OEA will comform to support Polya's and Indonesia Mathematics Curriculum 2013 suggestion. Two studies (Fatah, Suryadi, Sabandar, Turmudi., 2016, Irawan & Surya, 2017) reported the advantages of OEA in developing students' MCTA and geometry learning outcomes.

Those afformentioned arguments and findings stimulate researchers to excecute a study to analyze the role of MTA and student's cognitive stage, on obtaining student's MRA, and MSC and then we compile research questions as follow.

- 1. Are MCTA grade and its normalized gain, and MSRL grades of students getting treatment with OEA better than the grades of students taught by PBL?
- 2. What are student's difficulties on solving MCTA tasks?
- 3. Is there any association between MCTA and MSRL?
- 4. What are student's activities during OEA lessons?

Besides afformentioned reasons that MCTA should be improved on high school students, there are other reasons namely: a. Students who are used to think critically, they will also be able to solve problems effectively (Peter, 2012); b. Critical thinkers tend to work carefully in getting conclusions, to admit own mistakes, diligently digging for information, to be patient in getting a proof, being tolerant of different views, and to respect the strengths of others ideas (Johnson, 2007).

Further, apart from the notion of critical thinking ability (CTA) have been described, some authors propose the other notions of CTA, namely: a. Critical thinking is process of analyzing, explaining, developing, and selecting ideas, through classifying, comparing, contrusting, testing argument, evaluating induction and deduction, and determining priority (Gokhale, 1995); b. Critical thinking ability is ability to organize and to evaluate a reason systimatcally. (Hassoubah, 2007); c. Critical thinking is a directed process to solve problem, to derive conclusion, and to carry out ascientific research (Yohnson,2007); d. Mathematical critical thinking is ability to organize and to evaluate and reasoning ability, to obtain conclusions, and to assess mathematical situations (Glazer, 2002)

136 Yulianti, Y., Sumarmo, U., Kustiana, A. Improving Students Mathematical Critical Thinking Ability and Self Regulated Learning Using Open-Ended Approach

Based on those experts' conception on MCTA, then Hendriana, Rohaeti, Sumarmo (2016) summerize indicators of MCTA as follow: a. To analyze assumption of an argument; b. To examine the truth of argument, statement, and or process of enumeration; c. To formulate questions, explanation, and to derive conclusion based on given information accompanied with rational reasoning; d. To identify relevant and unrelevant data or information of a mathematics problem; e. To compile answer or to solve mathematical problem accompanied logical reasoning; f. To interact with other people.

Some aouthors define SRL more detail as follow: a. SRL is designing and observing self learning process carefully toward cognitive and affective process in completing an academic task (Hargis and Kerlin, 1992); b. SRL is ability to observe self behaviour such as to assess own-self, to determine learning goal; to accustome to work hard (Bandura as cited in Hargies, and Kerlin, 1992), c. SRL is learning process influenced by thinking, feeling, strategy, and own behaviour that oriented to a realizing goal (Schunk and Zimmerman 1998); SRL is a recursive cognitive activities cycle that holds to analyze task, to choose, to adopt, or to invent strategy for obtaining the goal of the task, and to monitor learning out comes; d. Other term of SRL namely self-direction on learning (SDL), that is self-regulation in designing, implementing, and assessing their own learning (Wongsri, Cantwell, Archer, 2002).

There are some suggestions for improving student's SRL among other are: a. Help student to excecute the cycle of SRL flexibly and adaptively (Butler, 2002, as cited in Sumarmo, 2006); b. Create condusive learning environment and avoid irrelevant student's activity; help students to manage their time; promote self confidence for being uneasy panic (Schunk, 1994, as cited in Sumarmo, 2006); c. Make student realize on the meaning and the importance of having SRL; Perform teacher to behave the wished SRL attitude; Familiarize students to behave the wished SRL attitude; Carry out integrated and continous mathematics teaching-learning process (Sauri, 2010); d. Setting short and long term goal, planning self managing, promoting own self monitoring, trying help seeking, and performing self evaluation (Zumbrunn, Tadlock, and Robert, 2011).

Shimada and Becker (1997) clarify that OEA is an approach which offer students more opportunity to acquire new knowledge and learning experience, by presenting non rutine and open problem. Students should complete the problem by using various strategies to obtain more than one solutions. Sawada (1997) detailes the notion of openness of a problem into three kinds namely: processes are open, solutions or answers are open, and methods of the task are open. The open process means that the task has many correct startegies to complete it. Secondly, solutions or answers are open indicate that the problem has some right posible answers or solutions. Then the last, open methods refers to when students have excecuted the previou task correctly, then they able to modify the begining task situation into a new condition task and then they able to finish it. Considering to the afformentioned traits of OEA, researchers predict that OEA give opportunity to the students for improving their creative and critical thinking abilities as well.

Aside from the study findings that have been previously reported, in the following we reported several results of other studies. Two studies (Akhmad & Rohaeti 2018, Anggraeni, Sylviana, Hendriana, 2018) reported advantages of OEA on student's mathematical communication and creative thinikng abilities. Other studies (Fatmawati, 2014, Nurina, 2014, Rahmawati, Rohaeti, Yuliani., 2018, Tresnawati, Hidayat, Rohaeti, 2018) by using variety teaching approaches reported that students obtained MCTA at medium grade level.

As well as, other studies (Mulyana, & Hendriana, 2015, Rahmawati, Rohaeti, Yuliani. 2018, Romlah, Sumarmo, Syaban, 2018, Sumarni & Sumarmo, 2017) attained student's MSRL at medium up to fairly good grade level. Seemingly, students getting various teaching approaches still encountered some difficulties in solving MCTA tasks, but they didn't experience difficulties on behaving MSRL.

METHOD

This research was a pretest-postest experiment with control group design having a goal to analyze the role of open-ended approach (OEP) on students' mathematical critical thinking ability (MCTA) and mathematical self regulated learning (MSRL). The study involved 71 seventh grade students, MCTA test, and MSRL scale. The instruments were compiled specifically for this study. Before the instruments were implemented researchers tried out them to students who had learned mathematics content of the MCTA test. Further, by using Hendriana and Sumarmo (2014) and Sumarmo (2015) as references researchers obtained description of MCTA test, MSRL scale, as attached in Table 1.

		1				
Instruments	n	n	Daliability	Item	Difficulty	Discriminat
	Subyect	Instru-ment	Kenability	Validity	Index	Power
MCTA test	59	5	.68	.51 – .70	.5066	.2747
MSRL scale	59	30	.90	.34 – .91	-	-

Table 1 Description of MCTA test, MSRL Scale

In the following, we attached some samples of instruments of this research.

Sample 1. Mathematical critical thinking ability item test:

The length and width of a rectangle ABCD is 30 cm and 20 cm. The edges of the rectangle are cut along x cm to form a new rectangle of PQRS. Perimeter of PQRS no more than 52 cm. We will calculate the range value of x.

- a. Skets the rectangle ABCD and rectangle PQRS. Complete the figures with elements that are known and asked.
- b. Compile mathematical model for determining the range value of x. Write concept involved in the model.
- c. Solve the model and write processes in each step of the completion.

No	Statements	SA	А	DA	SDA
1.	I look for additional practice problem caused of my own will				
2.	I try to find out my own weaknesses in learning System of liniear Equation of two variables. (SLETV)				
3.	I think to learn SLETV without targets will ease the learning burden				
4.	I wait for teacher's help when I face difficulty to solve SLETV problem				

Tabel 2. Some statements of MSRL Scale

- 138 Yulianti, Y., Sumarmo, U., Kustiana, A. Improving Students Mathematical Critical Thinking Ability and Self Regulated Learning Using Open-Ended Approach
- 5. I love using internet in learning SLETV
- 6. I'm lazy to explain my SLETV answer to a friend
- 7. I try to solve SLETV problems to increase my mastery in SLETV
- 8. I feel doubtful about my own answers in the SLETV test

Note: SA: strongly agree	DA: disagree
A: agree	SDA:strongly disagree

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Result

Further analysis found that the attaiment of students' MCTA and its gain (N-G), and MSRL as attached in Table 3.

 Table 3 Description of Mathematical Critical Thinking Ability and Mathematical Self Regulated

 Learning of Students in Both Teaching Approaches

		Ope	en-ended A	pprocah		S	aintific App	proach	
Variables	Stat.		(OEA)				(SA)		
		Pretest	Postest	N- Gain	n	Pretest	Postest	N- Gain	n
МСТА	\bar{x}	28.1	35,33	.41		27.52	30.41	.13	
(IS=50)	% IS	56,2	70.66		30	55.04	60.82		29
	SD	7,91	9.78	.49		6.69	8.25	.29	
MSRL	\bar{x}		88.60				81.08		
Scale	% IS	-	66.62	-	30	-	62,37	-	29
(IS=133)	SD		16.56				10,66		
	(1	· 1 ·	• 1/1 • 1 •	1 114		10 '	1 1		

Note: MCTA: mathematical critical thinking ability IS: ideal score

MSRL: mathematical self regulated learning

In pre-test there were no different students' grades of MCTA of both teaching approaches and the grades were at low-moderat level. But afther teaching approaches, the research found that on MCTA and its N Gain, and MSRL. students who learned with open-ended approach (OEA) achieved MCTA and MSRL at prety good grade level, while students who learned with scientific approach (SA) obtained MCTA and MSRL at moderate grade level.

Table 4 Testing Hypothesis of Mean Difference of Mathematical Critical Thinking Ability Its N-
Gain, and Mathematical Self Regulation Learning on the Both Teaching Approcahes

Variable	Teaching approach	\overline{x}	SD	n	Sig.	Interpretation
MCTA	OEA.	35.33	9.78	30	00 < 05	MCTA $_{OEA}$ > MCTA $_{SA}$
	SA	30.41	8.25	29	.00 < .03	
N-Gain	OEA	.41	.49	30	00 < 05	N-Gain MCTA _{OEA} >
of	SA	.13	.29	29	.00 < .05	N-Gain MCTA _{SA}

MCTA						
MSRL	OEA.	88.60	16.56	30	00 < 05	
	SA	81.08	10.66	29	.00 < .03	WIGKL OEA > WIGKL SA
Note: N	ACTA : mat	Ideal score MCTA: 50				
MS	SRL : mathe	Ideal score MSRL :133				

As well as on N<G> of MCTA the first students group obtained higher grade than the grade of second students group. The first group students obtained N<G> of MCTA at high grade level and the second group students attained N<G> of MCTA at low grade level. The testing hypothesis of those grades were attached in Table 3.

The result of testing hypothesis in Table 3 pointed out that on MSRL students getting treatment with OEA obtained at fairly good grade level, while students taught by sienctific approach (SA) attained MSRL at moderat grade level. Those findings were similar to findings of previous researchs (Aminah, Kusumah, Suryadi, Sumarmo, 2017, Qohar, & Sumarmo, 2014, Rohaeti, Budiyanto, Sumarmo, 2014, Romlah, Sumarmo, Syaban, 2018, Sopian, Sabandar. 2018, Sumarni & Sumarmo, 2017) that students taught by variety teaching approaches obtained MSRL at fairly good grade level.

Further analysis was about students' difficulties in solving MCTA tasks. Ilustration of students' difficulties on solving MCTA tasks were attached in Table 5

Teaching	No.	No.1	No 2.	No.3	No.4	No.5
Approach	Ideal Score	8	12	10	10	10
OEA	X	7,30	5,77	6,70	8,53	7.03
	% out of IS	91,25	48,08	67,00	85,30	70.3
SA	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$	6,17	5,07	5,82	6,83	6,51
	% out of IS	44,07	36,21	58,20	48,78	65,10

Tabel 5 Student's Mean Score on Each Item of MCTA In Both Teaching Approaches

Based on Tabel 5, the research detected that students taught by SA experienced more difficulties in completing MCTA tasks than difficulties of student's taught by OEA. Those difficulties were about understanding problems, making mathematical models and finding alternative answers to solve problems.

Association between MCTA, and MSRL was analyzed by using testing χ^2 (Chi-Square) and contigency table (Table 6).

 Table 6. Test of Pearson-Chi Square and Contigency Coefficient between MCTA, MSRL in OEA

 Class

Variables	Pearson-Chi Square (χ^2)	DF	Sign 2 tailed	Sign 1 tailed
MCTA- MSRL	49.688 ^a	4	.000	.000 < .005

 Table 7 Contingency
 between Mathematical Critical Thinking Ability (MCTA) and Mathematical

 Self Regulated Learning (MSRL)

MSRL	High	Medium	Low	Total
МСТА				
High	8	0	0	8
Medium	0	14	2	16
Low	0	0	6	6
Total	8	14	8	30

140 Yulianti, Y., Sumarmo, U., Kustiana, A. Improving Students Mathematical Critical Thinking Ability and Self Regulated Learning Using Open-Ended Approach

Calculation results obtained χ^2 value was 49.688^a with sig. .000 smaller than $\alpha = 0.05$. It showed that there was high association between MCTA and MSRL with contingency coefficient C= 0.760 or Q = 0.931.

Discussion

Finding on association of this research was similar to findings of other studies (Aminah, Kusumah, Suryadi, Sumarmo, 2017, Qohar, & Sumarmo, 2014, Rohaeti, Budiyanto, Sumarmo, 2014, Sopian, Sabandar. 2018, Sumarni & Sumarmo, 2017) which reported there were association between MSRL with variety of mathematics hard-skill. However, those findings was different with finding of other study (Romlah, Sumarmo, Syaban, 2018) that detected there was no association between mathematical problem solving ability and mathematical habits of mind. Those findings pointed out that the existance of association between mathematical hard-skill and soft-skill was inconsistent.

Apart from those findings, the research also detected that students performed to be pleasure during the OEA lessons and students posed potitive opinion on Open-ended Approach (OEA) lessons such as: OEA motivate students to look for variety answer in solving an open-ended problem (Figure 1), OEA encouraged students to work together in small group discussion (Figure.2), and to be dared to explain group assignments in front of the class (Figure 3).



Figure 1: Students actively learning in small group



Figure 2: Students solved openended problem individually



Figure 3: Students presented their work in the front of the class

CONCLUSION

Open-ended approach (OEA) took better role than the role of saintific approach (SA) on improving students' MCTA and MSRL. On MCTA and MSRL, the grade of students getting treatment with OEA was at fairly good grade level, while the grade of students taught by SA was at moderate grade level. Students of both classes still experienced difficulties on solving MCTA tasks.

The other conclussion were: there was high assocition between MCTA and MSRL and students performed active learning, solved problem on students worksheet enthutiastically, and they were dare to present their work in front of the class during Open-ended Approach lessons.

In order to improve students' MCTA, students should be accustomed to solve problems accompanied with rules and process used in each step of the enumeration, and to practice to examine the truth of process in each step of enumeration. Further to improve students' SRL, it was suggested that students should be accustomed to manage their own learning, to examine their own learning outcomes and compare to certain standard, to work in small group, and not easily give up facing difficult problems.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thank you to Mr. Sunaryo, S.Pd, M.Pd., as the Headmaster of SMPN 3 Tarogong Kidul Garut and all staff who have given permission and provided support both morally and materially in conducting this research activity. And to friends of the Postgraduate Mathematics IKIP Siliwangi 2018-2019.

REFERENCES

- Akhmad , F., Rohaeti EE. (2018). "Comparison of Mathematical Communication Ability of SMP Students Between Learning Using A Realistic Approach With Using Open Ended Approach." J Jurnal Pembelajaran Matematika Inovatif. JPM1,. Volume 1 Number 3, September 2018, pp 329-334
- Aminah, M., Kusumah, Y.K., Suryadi, D. and Sumarmo, U. (2017). "Enhancing Students' Mathematical Logical Thinking Ability and Self Regulated Learning By Using Metacognitive Teaching-Learning". Paper published in *International Journal of Instruction.* July, 2018. Vol. 11-number.3.
- Anggraeni, I., Sylviana Z. L, Hendriana, H. (2018). "Kemampuan Berpikir Kreatif Siswa SMP Kelas VIII Pada Materi Sistem Persamaan Linear Dua Variabel Melalui Pendekatan Open Ended" JPMI. Vol 1, No 5 (2018). PP. 863-872
- Baron, J. B. dan Sternberg, R.J. (Editor), (1987) *Teaching Thinking Skill*. New York: W.H. Freeman and Company
- Butler, D.L. (2002). *Individualizing Instruction in Self-Regulated Learning*. http://articles.findarticles.com/p/articles/mi_mOQM/is_2_41/ni_90190495
- Corno L. & Randi, J. (1999). *Self-Regulated Learning*. http://www.personal.psu.edu/ users/h/x/hxk223/self.htm
- Departemen Pendidikan Nasional (2013). Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan Republik Indonesia Nomor 81A Tahun 2013, tentang Implementasi Kurikulum, 2013
- Fatah, A, Suryadi, D, Sabandar, J., Turmudi. (2016). Open-Ended Approach: An Effort In Cultivating Students' Mathematical Creative Thinking Ability And Self-Esteem In Mathematics . Journal on Mathematics Education Volume 7, No. 1, January 2016, pp. 9-18
- Fisher, A. (2009). Berpikir Kritis: Sebuah Pengantar. Jakarta: Erlangga.
- Glazer, E. (2004). *Technology Enhanced Learning Environment that are Conductive of Critical Thinking in Mathematics*.[online]. Tersedia: <u>http://www.lonestar.texas.net/scifert/crit2.html 6 Desember 2009.</u>
- Gokhale, A. A. (1995). *Collaborative Learning Enhances Critical Thinking*. [Online]. Tersedia: <u>http://Scholer.lib.vt.edu./ejournals/JTEI V7 n1/pdf/Gokhale.pdf</u>.
- Hargis, J dan Kerlin, B. A.(1992). *Cognitive Engagemant Style: Self-Regulated Learning and Cooperative Learning*. Science on the Internet.

- 142 Yulianti, Y., Sumarmo, U., Kustiana, A. Improving Students Mathematical Critical Thinking Ability and Self Regulated Learning Using Open-Ended Approach
- Hassoubah, I, Z. (2007). Mengasah Pikiran Kreatif dan Kritis Disertai Ilustrasi dan Latihan. Bandung : Nuansa
- Hendriana, H dan Sumarmo, U (2014). *Penilaian Pembelajaran Matematika*. Bandung: Penerbit PT Refika Aditama. Bandung.
- Hendriana, H., Rohaeti, E.E., Sumarmo, U. (2014) *Hard Skill dan Soft Skill Mathematika*. Bandung: Penerbit PT Refika Aditama. Bandung.
- Hendriana, H dan Sumarmo,U (2014). *Penilaian Pembelajaran Matematika*. Bandung: Penerbit PT Refika Aditama.
- Irawan, A, Surya, E. (2017). "Application of the Open Ended Approach to Mathematics Learning in the Sub-subject of Rectangular". International Journal Of Sciences: Basic And Applied Research (IJSBAR) ISSN 2307-4531 (Print & Online) Http://Gssrr.Org/Index.Php?Journal=Journalofbasicandapplied
- Kerlin, B. A.(1992). Cognitive Engagemant Style: Self-Regulated Learning and Cooperative Learning.
- Kurniawati, L., Kusumah, Y.S., Sumarmo, U. Sabandar, Y. (2014). Enhancing Students' mathematical intuitive-reflective thinking ability through problem based learning with hypnoteaching method. Paper published in *Journal of Education and Practice*, Vol.(6) No.36, 2014 pp 130-135. ISSN 2222-1736 (paper). Available online: http://www.iist. org/journal/index.php/JEP/articel/view/17480, ISSN 2222-288x (on line)
- Lowry, C. M. (2000). Supporting and Facilitating Self-Directed Learning. ERIC Digest No 93,1989-00-00
- Lunenburg, F.C. (2011). "Critical Thinking and Constructivism Techniques for Improving Student Achievement". Paper published in *National Forum Teacher Education Journal*.21,(3), 1-9.
- Mulyana, A & Hendriana, H. (2015). "Meningkatkan Kemampuan Penalaran Matematik dan Kemandirian Belajar Siswa SMP melalui Pembelajaran Berbasis Masalah". Paper published in *Edusentris, Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan dan Pengajaran*, Vol. 2, No. July 2015.
- Murni, S. & Sugandi, A.I. (2017). "The Role Of Mathematics Realistic Education Approach On Students' Mathematical Critical Thinking And Resiliency". *Infinity. Volume 1 Number 1, March 2018, pp. 41-52*
- NCTM. (2000). Principles and Standards for School Mathematics. Reston. VA: NCTM.
- Nurina, H. (2014). "Keefektifan PBL Ditinjau Dari Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis dan Kreatif Matematis serta *Self Esteem* Siswa SMP". Paper published in *Jurnal Riset Pendidikan Matematika, Volume 01, Nomor 01.*
- Palinussa, A. L. (2013). "Students' critical Mathematical Thinking Skills and Character. " Experiment for Yunior High School Students through Realistic Mathematics Education Culture Based. Paper published in: *IndoMS Journal on Mathematics Education* (*IndoMS-JME*), Vol. 4, No. 1. January 2013, pp. 75-94.
- Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan Nasional No. 81a Tahun 2013 tanggal 27 Juni 2013 tentang Implementasi Kurikulum Standar Isi.
- Peter, E.E. (2012). "Critical Thinking: Essence for Teaching Mathematics and Mathematics Problem Solving Skills". *African Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science Research.* 5, (93), pp 39-43.

- Polya, G. (1975). *How to Solve It. A New Aspect of Mathematical Method* (2nd ed.). New Jersey: Princenton University Press
- Qohar, A., & Sumarmo, U. (2014). "Improving Mathematical Communication Ability and Self Regulation Learning Of Yunior High Students by Using Reciprocal Teaching" Paper published in: *Journalon Mathematics Education*, 4(01), 59-74.
- Retnaningsih, M. dan Sugandi, A.I. (2018). "The Role of Problem Based Learning on Improving Students' Mathematical Critical Thinking Ability and Self-Regulated Learning". Paper published in *Innovative* Volume 1 Number 2, June 2018, pp. 60-69
- Rohaeti, E.E. Budiyanto, A.M., Sumarmo, U (2014). "Enhancing Mathematical Logical Thinking Ability and Self Regulated Learning of Students through Problem Based Learning". Paper published in *International Journal of Education* Vol.8, No. 1. Desember 2014. pp 54-63. Graduate School, Indonesia University of Education
- Romlah, Sumarmo, U. Syaban, M. (2018). "Mathematical Problem Solving Ability and Self Regulated Learning: Experiment With Eighth Grade Student Using Metacognitive Approach". Jurnal Nasional Pendidikan Matematika (JNPM) (on line Euclid Vol.3 No 1. Edisi Maret 2019. http://jurnal.unswagati.ac.id/index.php/JNPM
- Sawada, T. (1997). Developing lesson plans. In J. Becker & S. Shimada (Editor), the Openended Approach: A New Proposal for Teaching Mathematics. National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- Schunk, D.H. (1994). Helping Children Work Smarter for School Success. Department of Educational Studies, Purdue University Parent page was developed by Cornel Cooperative-Extention of Suffolk County.
- Schunck, D.H., & Zimmerman, B.J.(1998). *Introduction to the Self Regulated Learning (SRL) Cycle*.
- Shimada, S., Becker J.P., (1997). *The Kontekstual Approach. A New Proposal For Teaching Mathematics*. Virginia : NCTM;
- Sopian, A. & Sabandar. J. (2018). "Improving The Ability Of Mathematic Problem Solving, Mathematics Connection and Self Regulated Learning With Junior High School Students Through Metakognitive Approach" Jurnal Pembelajaran Matematika Inovatif. JPM1, Volume1 Number 2, June 2018, pp. 116-122
- Sumarni, C. and Sumarmo, U. (2017). Penalaran Matematik dan Kemandirian Belajar:Eksperimen terhadap Siswa SMP melalui Pembelajaran Generatif. Paper published in *Edusentris: Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan dan Pengajaran*.Vol.3. No.1. April. 2017, pp. 290-299.
- Sumarmo, U. (2006). "Kemandirian belajar: Apa, mengapa dan bagaimana dikembangkan pada peserta didik" Paper presented at Seminar of Mathematics Education in Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Science, State University of Yogyakarta. Paper published in Suryadi, D, Turmudi, Nurlaelah, E. (Editors). *Kumpulan Makalah Proses Berpikir dan Disposisi Matematik dan Pembelajarannya*. 2014. Hal. 109-122. Jurusan Pendidikan Matematika FPMIPA UPI.
- Sumarmo, U., Kusnadi, A., Maya, R. (2018). Mathematical Critical Thinking and Disposition: Experiment with Vocational High School Students using Scientific Approach. Paper published in Journal of Educational Expert (JEE). 1 (2).

- 144 Yulianti, Y., Sumarmo, U., Kustiana, A. Improving Students Mathematical Critical Thinking Ability and Self Regulated Learning Using Open-Ended Approach
- Sumarmo, U. (2015). *Rubrik Pemberian Skor Tes Kemampuan Matematika*. Tersedia: <u>http/www.utari-sumarmo.dosen.stkipsiliwangi.ac.id</u> [30 Juli2016]
- Sumarmo, U. (2019). Kemampuan Pemahaman dan Penalaran Matematis Dikaitkan dengan Tahap Kognitif Siswa SMA (Summary of Disertation of Utari Sumarmo, 1987, in Post graduate of IKIP Bandung. Available in Website of IKIP Siliwangi, 2020
- Tresnawati, T., Hidayat, W., Rohaeti, E.E. (2018). "Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis Matematis Dan Kepercayaan Diri Siswa SMA". Paper published in *Symmetry: Pasundan Journal of Research in Mathematics Learning and Education* No. 8230
- Widyaningtias, R., Kusumah, Y.S., Sumarmo, U. Sabandar, Y. (2017), "The Impact of Problem Based Problem to Senior High School Students' Mathematics Critical Thinking Ability". published in *Journal of Mathematics Education*, 6 (2).pp 107-116
- Wolters, C. (1998). "Self Regulated Learning and College Students' Regulation of Motivation". *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 90, 224-235.
- Wongsri, N., Cantwell, R.H., Archer, J. (2002). The Validation of Measures of Self-Efficacy, Motivation and self-Regulated Learning among Thai tertiary Students. Paper presented at the Annual Conference of the Australian Association for Research in Education, Brisbane, December 2002.
- Zumbrunn, S., Tadlock, J. Robert, E.D. (2011). *Encouraging Self-Regulated Learning in the Classroom. A. Review of Literature.* Sharon Virginia Commonwealth University.